EDITORIAL

SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF NON-STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS (SPONSE) AND LEARNING FROM EARTHQUAKES (LFE): NEW ZEALAND PERSPECTIVE

Rajesh P Dhakal¹

This issue of the NZSEE Bulletin presents four papers covering diverse topics spanning into seismic performance of nonstructural elements (SPONSE) and learning from earthquakes (LFE). The first paper by Haymes et al. [1] presents a practiceoriented method to generate floor displacement and acceleration response spectra for elastically responding structures. This method enables improved prediction of floor acceleration demands for acceleration sensitive non-structural components and contents provided the building period is known. Given the floor acceleration profile currently specified in NZS1170.5; i.e. the New Zealand Loadings Standard for Earthquake Actions, for seismic design of parts and components is crude, this paper provides useful information that can potentially help refine the guidelines related to floor acceleration demands for non-structural components and contents in buildings.

The next two papers [2, 3] in this issue present experimental investigation on seismic performance of two different drift-sensitive non-structural components. The paper by Carradine et al. [2] presents experimentally generated fragility functions for residential windows typical of New Zealand practice. Similarly, the paper by Arifin et al. [3] reports an experimental testing of a commercial glazing system using a unique test setup that can simultaneously spray water at different pressure levels and apply cyclic inter-storey drift reversals. This setup enables assessing the water resistance capacity of a glazing system in addition to its physical response to reversed cyclic inter-storey drifts. Based on the experimental results, water leakage fragility curves are developed for a common commercial glazing system used in New Zealand.

In addition to the 2016 special issue of the Bulletin on SPONSE and papers on different non-structural components published by New Zealand researchers in conferences [4-6] and journals [7-14], the first three papers in this issue provide further evidence that New Zealand researchers are among the leading contributors to the global research effort on this important topic.

Non-structural elements (NSEs) refer to secondary components of a building which include: (i) architectural elements (e.g. cladding, glazing, ornaments, ceilings, partitions etc.); (ii) building services and equipment (e.g. lifts, escalators, generators, pumps, sprinklers, HVAC, ducts and pipework, cable-trays etc.); and (iii) building contents (e.g. computers and servers, furniture, shelves etc.). Despite not contributing to either vertical or lateral resistance of the building, NSEs are necessary to make a building complete and functional. Moreover, the cost of NSEs significantly exceed the cost of structural components in a building [15].

In recent earthquakes, significant damage has been reported to NSEs [16-18], which has led to a significant financial loss in

the form of repair cost and, more importantly, business interruption costs. Research has shown that financial loss due to damage to NSEs in an earthquake can be significantly more than the structural damage repair cost [19]. In additional to the direct repair cost, damage to NSEs often lead to lengthy business interruption, which can have more dire financial implications.

In New Zealand, since the bitter experience of the 2010-11 Canterbury Earthquake Sequence the awareness of importance of NSEs in buildings has significantly increased and researchers and practitioners alike have started paying greater attention to scrutinising the state of practice on design and installation of NSEs [4, 7, 20-26]. Increased impetus and greater resource allocation to improve SPONSE have led to extensive research, which helped in understanding inherent weaknesses in traditionally designed/installed NSEs [2-4, 8] and developing novel methods and technologies to design and build low-damage NSEs [10, 13, 14, 27]. Utilising the enhanced understanding of SPONSE, seismic assessment methods have also been developed for different NSEs [6, 28].

Despite all these efforts, New Zealand construction industry is still facing significant issues related to seismic design and installation of NSEs. The past poor performance of NSEs has been identified as a system failure within the industry [26]. The main reasons of this poor state are: (i) inadequate procurement/tendering practice; (ii) design guidelines inconsistent with state-of-the-knowledge; (iii) lack of coordination among different NSE-related trades; (iv) faulty installations not identified due to poor quality control; and (v) non-compliance to existing New Zealand Standards. These problems cannot be solved by improving only a single aspect of the spectrum and will need all stakeholders to work together to achieve the expected performance. Researchers and practitioners are currently working together to address these issues and I believe the industry will start reaping the benefits of these efforts in the near future.

The final paper in this issue [29] presents a summary of the building damage observed in the 2017 Puebla, Mexico earthquake. This adds to the already-rich LFE report series archived in the Bulletin over the years. In addition to the special issues of the Bulletin published on recent New Zealand earthquakes (i.e. the 2010 Darfield earthquake, 2011 Christchurch earthquake and 2016 Kaikōura earthquake), it has also published reconnaissance reports on major overseas earthquakes including the 1985 Mexico earthquake [30], 2008 Wenchuan earthquake in China [31], 2009 Padang earthquake in Indonesia [32], 2015 Gorkha earthquake in Nepal [33], 2016 Kumamoto earthquake in Japan [34, 35] and the 2016 Meinong earthquake in Taiwan [36]. Given the geological similarity between the soft lakebed in Mexico City and soft soil deposits

¹ Editor-in-Chief, Bulletin of the NZSEE, <u>rajesh.dhakal@canterbury.ac.nz</u> (Fellow)

in New Zealand cities, the ground motion characteristics and the building performance in this earthquake will be of significant interest to New Zealand earthquake engineers and seismologists. Moreover, as a large number of New Zealand buildings are being retrofitted using different technologies, the performance of buildings in Mexico that were retrofitted after the 1985 earthquake will also be of keen interest to New Zealand engineers.

REFERENCES

- 1 Haymes K, Sullivan TJ and Chandramohan R (2020). "A practice oriented method for estimating elastic floor response spectra". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **53**(3): 116-136. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.53.3.116-136
- 2 Carradine DN, Kumar A, Fairclough R and Beattie G (2020). "Serviceability fragility functions for New Zealand residential windows". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, 53(3): 137-143. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.53.3.137-143
- 3 Arifin F, Sullivan TJ and Dhakal RP (2020). "Experimental investigation into the seismic fragility of a commercial glazing system". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **53**(3): 144-149. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.53.3.144-149
- 4 Pourali A, Dhakal RP and MacRae GA (2014). "Seismic performance of suspended ceilings: Critical review of current design practice". *Annual Conference of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE2014)*, 21-23 March, Auckland, 8pp.
- 5 Dhakal RP, Rashid M, Bhatta J, Chen C, Song GQ, Sullivan TJ, MacRae GA, Clifton GC, Jia LJ and Xiang P (2020). "Shake table testing plan for multiple non-structural elements and contents in a low–damage structural steel building". *17th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering (17WCEE)*, September 27-2 October 2021, Sendai, Japan, 12pp.
- 6 Arifin F, De Francesco G, Sullivan TJ and Dhakal RP (2020). "Developing guidelines for the seismic assessment of glazing systems". Annual Conference of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering (NZSEE2020), Wellington, 10pp.
- 7 Dhakal RP, Pourali A, Tasligedik S, Yeow T, Baird A, MacRae G, Pampanin S and Palermo A (2016). "Seismic performance of non-structural components and contents in buildings: An overview of New Zealand research". Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration, 15(1): 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11803-016-0301-9
- 8 Dhakal RP, MacRae GA, Pourali A and Paganotti G (2016). "Seismic fragility of suspended ceiling systems used in New Zealand based on component tests". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 49(1): 45-63. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.49.1.45-63
- 9 Dhakal RP, Pourali A and Saha S (2016). "Simplified seismic loss functions for suspended ceilings and drywall partitions". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 49(1): 64-78. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.49.1.64-78
- 10 Pourali A, Dhakal RP, MacRae G and Tasligedik S (2017). "Fully-floating suspended ceiling system: Experimental evaluation of structural feasibility and challenges". Earthquake Spectra, 33(4): 1627-1654. https://doi.org/10.1193/092916EQS163M
- 11 T Yeow, G MacRae, RP Dhakal and B Bradley (2018). "Validating the sliding mechanics of office type furniture using shake-table experiments". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **51**(1): 1-11. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.51.1.1-11

- 12 Khakurel S, Yeow TZ, Chen F, Wang Z, Saha SK and Dhakal RP (2019). "Development of cladding contribution functions for seismic loss estimation". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **52**(1): 23-43. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.52.1.23-43
- 13 Mulligan J, Sullivan TJ and Dhakal RP (2020). "Experimental seismic performance of partly-sliding partition walls". *Journal of Earthquake Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13632469.2020.1733139
- 14 Bhatta J, Dhakal RP, Sullivan TJ and Lanyon M (2020). "Low-damage rocking precast concrete cladding panels: Design approach and experimental validation". *Journal of Earthquake Engineering*, (Accepted for publication).
- 15 Khakurel S, Dhakal RP, Yeow T and Saha S (2020). "Performance group weighting factors for rapid seismic loss estimation of buildings of different usage". *Earthquake Spectra*. https://doi.org/10.1177/8755293019901311
- 16 Dhakal RP (2010). "Damage to non-structural components and contents in 2010 Darfield earthquake". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 43(4): 404-411. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.43.4.404-411
- 17 Dhakal RP, MacRae G and Hogg K (2011). "Performance of ceilings in the February 2011 Christchurch earthquake". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 44(4): 377-387. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.44.4.377-387
- 18 Baird A and Ferner H (2017). "Damage to non-structural elements in the 2016 Kaikōura earthquake". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **50**(2): 187-193. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.50.2.187-193
- 19 Bradley BA, Dhakal RP, Cubrinovski M and MacRae GA (2009). "Seismic loss estimation for efficient decision making". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 42(2): 96-110. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.42.2.96-110
- 20 MacRae GA, Pampanin S, Dhakal RP and Palermo A (2012). "Review of Design and Installation Practices of Non-Structural Elements". Report for the Engineering Advisory Group, Department of Building and Housing, Wellington, 82pp. http://www.naturalhazards.org.nz/NHRP/Publications/Research-Publications/Short-Term-Recovery-Programme
- 21 Ferner H, Lander M, Douglas G, Baird A, Wemyss M and Hunter D (2016). "Pragmatic improvements to seismic resilience of non-structural elements". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **49**(1): 22-33. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.49.1.22-33
- 22 Ferner H, Jury R, King A, Wemyss M and Baird A (2016). "Performance objectives for non-structural elements". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 49(1): 79-85. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.49.1.79-85
- 23 Stanway J and Curtain B (2017). "Economic Benefits of Code Compliant Non-structural Elements in New Buildings". Opus Report for Ministry of Business Innovation & Employment (MBIE), Wellington, 52pp.
- 24 Stanway J, Sullivan T and Dhakal RP (2018). "Towards a new delivery approach to improve the performance of nonstructural elements in New Zealand". 17th US-Japan-New Zealand Workshop on the Improvement of Structural Engineering and Resilience, 12-14 November, Queenstown, 8pp.
- 25 Sullivan TJ, Dhakal RP and Stanway J (2020). "A framework for the seismic rating of non-structural elements in buildings". 17th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering (17WCEE), September 27-2 October 2021, Sendai, Japan.

- 26 Stanway J, Sullivan T and Dhakal RP (2020). "Design, construction and seismic performance of non-structural elements in New Zealand". 2020 SEAOC Convention, 9-12 September, Hawaii, 11pp.
- 27 Mulligan J, Sullivan TJ and Dhakal RP (2021). "Experimental study of the seismic performance of plasterboard partition walls with seismic gaps". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, (Accepted for Publication).
- 28 MBIE, NZSEE, SESOC, EQC and NZGS (2017). "The Seismic Assessment of Existing Buildings Technical Guidelines for Engineering Assessments". Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment, New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, Earthquake Commission, New Zealand Geotechnical Society, Wellington. http://www.eq-assess.org.nz
- 29 Roeslin S, Huarez-Garcia H, Elwood KJ, Dhakal RP and Gomez-Bernal A (2020). "The September 19th, 2017 Puebla, Mexico earthquake: Final report of the New Zealand reconnaissance team". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **53**(3): 150-172. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.53.3.150-172
- 30 Butcher G, Hopkins D, Jury R, Massey W, McKay G and McVerry G (1988). "The September 1985 Mexico Earthquakes". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 21(1): 3-96. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.21.1.3-96
- 31 Yu J, Yong P, Read S, Brabhaharan P and Foon M (2010). "The Ms 8.0 Wenchuan earthquake of 12 May 2008 reconnaissance report". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **43**(1): 41-83. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.43.1.41-83

- 32 Bothara J, Beetham D, Brunsdon D, Stannard M, Brown R, Hyland C, Lewis W, Miller S, Sanders R and Sulistio Y (2010). "General observations of effects of the 30th September 2009 Padang earthquake, Indonesia". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 43(3): 143-173. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.43.3.143-173
- 33 Dizhur D, Dhakal RP, Bothara J and Ingham JM (2016). "Building typologies and failure modes observed in the 2015 Gorkha (Nepal) earthquake". *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, **49**(2): 211-232. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.49.2.211-232
- 34 Chiaro G, Alexander G, Brabhaharan P, Massey C, Koseki J, Yamada S and Aoyagi Y (2017). "Reconnaissance report on geotechnical and geological aspects of the 14-16 April 2016 Kumamoto earthquakes, Japan". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 50(3): 365-393. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.50.3.365-393
- 35 Sarrafzadeh M, Elwood KJ, Dhakal RP, Ferner H, Pettinga D, Stannard M, Maeda M, Nakano Y, Mukai T and Koike T (2017). "Performance of reinforced concrete buildings in the 2016 Kumamoto earthquakes and seismic design in Japan". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 50(3): 394-435. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.50.3.394-435
- 36 Henry RS, Lee B-Y, McGuigan D, Finnegan J and Ashby G (2017). "The 2016 Meinong Taiwan earthquake". Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering, 50(3): 436-468. https://doi.org/10.5459/bnzsee.50.3.436-468