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This paper is the result of deliberations of the Society's Study Group for the Seismic Design of STEEL STRUCTURES.
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2. INTRODUCTION

Low carbon steel normally used in structural work usually have a high ductility in the direction of rolling. Provided the design is as per the accompanying Study Group papers and that these design assumptions are satisfied in the actual structure, the steel can withstand a number of cycles of yielding in either direction whilst maintaining a substantially constant load capacity. However, brittle failure at welded joints due to lamellar tearing, brittle fracture or low cycle fatigue must be guarded against by proper detailing, good workmanship and selection of clean notch ductile steel.

Structures designed assuming other than an elastic response should be specially inspected to ensure a higher than normal quality assurance in all locations likely to undergo plastic deformation in the event of an earthquake.

3. LAMELLAR TEARING

While lamellar tearing is not peculiar to earthquake resistant structures it is caused by the restraint of welded joints of components which are thick and relatively short or stiff. Such joints are common in steel earthquake resisting frames. It generally occurs when butt or fillet welds with a size of 20 mm or greater are made on the surface of plates or sections (particularly over approximately 30 mm thick), under conditions of high restraint. For applications well below these limits, the risk of lamellar tearing is virtually negligible.

Lamellar tearing is tearing (i.e. fracture, cracking or separation) of parent or base metal primarily in planes parallel to the plane of rolling, due to high through-thickness strains, most often as a result of weld contraction. It may also be due to severe forming, pressing or shearing operations or gross overloading in testing or service (including earthquakes). The fracture surface is "woody" or "step-like" in appearance - i.e. has flat fibrous terraces which lie parallel to the plate surface, with "steps" or shear walls between these terraces, approximately normal to the plate surface (see Fig.1). Some areas of brittle fracture may be present.

It should not be confused with laminations, which are large or micro-scale discontinuities of flat shape, approximately parallel to the surface of rolled steel products, resulting from flattening and elongating of inclusions or voids during the rolling process. Laminations may initiate lamellar tearing by locally increasing strain when the steel is stressed in the through direction.

In rolled steel or the type usually used in steel structures the steel properties vary markedly depending whether one is considering the plane of rolling or perpendicular to that plane. Both strength and ductility of the steel are less in the direction normal to the rolling plane. It is when this reduced ductility is insufficient to withstand through-thickness plastic strains developed by cooling after welding runs or by other means that lamellar tearing may result. Fig.1 shows the tearing mechanism.

Gross lamellar tearing is most likely to occur during fabrication and is readily visible at the surface and, although a nuisance, is capable of repair. Our concern must be more directed at local or incipient lamellar tearing, which has not revealed itself at the surface, and may cause a significant loss of seismic resistance.

Designers, fabricators and inspectors need to be aware of details that promote lamellar tearing and to keep welds to the minimum size consistent with strength requirements. Fig.2 shows some basic joint designs to avoid lamellar tearing.

Australian Welding Research Association Technical Note 6 "Control of Lamellar Tearing" (1) is helpful in this regard as it covers control of lamellar tearing by design, material selection, fabrication and inspection, while also covering specification requirements, investigation and repair.
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Figure 1 Lamellar tearing mechanism
4. LOW CYCLE FATIGUE

Steel seismic design must consider the behaviour of the material when subjected to a number of cycles of fully or at least partially reversed loading into the inelastic range.

Typically beams fail at plastic hinges after local buckling has increased the strains at the surface to high enough values to initiate cracking which quickly reaches the critical size for fast fracture. Fortunately this generally happens beyond the ductilities we are interested in for the normally available weldable structural steels.

However, increasing strain reduces fatigue life as demonstrated in tests by Bertero and Popov (2) on a 100 mm x 100 mm WF beam when a strain of plus or minus one percent gave 607 cycles while plus or minus 2.5 percent gave only 16 cycles.

As with all fatigue, life is reduced by surface flaws and hence workmanship should be consistent with good practice by keeping notches and notches like defects to a minimum. Welds should be detailed in such a manner so that any cracks that are likely to form are not critically stressed normal to the crack. Backing plates, for example, should be considered as part of the weld and not just tack welded intermittently. Tack welds should be cut out after they have done their job and not incorporated into the finished joint.

5. REQUIREMENTS OF EXISTING CODES

5.1 NZS 4203: Code of Practice for General Structural Design and Design Loads for Buildings (3)

Clause 3.9 requires the designer to make the builder or contractor aware of dangers that can arise when structural elements are changed or varied without the specific approval of the structural designer.

It is sometimes thought that the substitution of a larger stronger, or a stiffer element for that shown on the drawings is self-evidently acceptable. This is not so: any change, whether it involved an increase or decrease in the strength or stiffness of an individual member from that specified, may have a serious detrimental effect on the earthquake performance of the structure by invalidating the designer's assumptions as to energy dissipating components and causing unintentional yielding or instability in other members.

5.2 NZS 3404: Code for the Design of Steel Structures (4)

Section 2 of AS 1250:1981 (5), as modified by NZS 3404, specifies the structural steels, fasteners and electrodes to be used. Specifically, clause 2.1.1 sets appropriate grades of steels with clause 1.2.1 giving additional requirements as a precaution against brittle fracture where welded elements are subject to tensile stresses. Warning is also given that the Design Engineer should consider the need for better impact resistance that the minimum 27 J at 0°C when a single failure would result in the collapse of the major part of the structure; at positions of high restraint and difficulties in inspection or testing; and where ambient temperatures may be below -7°C.

Clause 12.4.8.2 requires, in effect, that the designer check that the actual yield strength to actual ultimate strength for the steel used is less than 0.85 in yielding locations.

Clause 12.7 covers welding and requires consideration of material selection, connection design and detailing, restraint, welding procedures and inspection and weld testing to avoid brittle fracture or lamellar tearing. A level of weld testing is suggested.

Clause 12.8 deals with fabrication in plastic deformation areas.

5.3 NZS 4701: Metal-Arc Welding of Steel Structures (6)

This Standard covers the workmanship, materials, equipment and testing required for the application of metal-arc welding to the fabrication, assembly and repair of steel structures with a specified minimum yield strength not exceeding 450 MPa or a carbon equivalent of 0.53 percent or less.

Three weld acceptance levels are defined:

Class S - Special quality welds as defined in NZS 3404
Class A - welds which may be subjected to seismic loading where failure would affect the efficient performance of the structure as a whole, but would not have catastrophic consequences or result in complete failure of the main operational function of the structure
Class B - welds whose failure would not seriously affect the efficient performance of the structure as a whole

Appendix E gives a typical programme for non-destructive testing.

5.4 Overseas Codes

Overseas Codes (e.g. 7-10) generally limit the type of steel to be used in a similar manner to NZS 3404 and prescribe special inspection and quality assurance plans, particularly for buildings in the highest seismic zones and those housing critical facilities which are necessary to post-disaster recovery. For example, the commentary to AS 2121 (7) suggests that all complete penetration groove welds contained in joints and splices be tested and base metal when thicker than 40 mm when subjected to through thickness weld shrinkage be ultrasonically inspected for discontinuities directly behind such welds after joint completion.

6. MATERIALS

Generally any ordinary or weather-
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Figure 2 Basic joint design to avoid Lamellar tearing.
resistant weldable structural steel may be used. However in the selection of the steel the ductility requirements appropriate to the design method must be considered.

Elastically responding structures have no special requirements over and above normal good practice.

Structures designed to have a ductile or limited ductile response should comply with more severe requirements and have a maximum specified $F_y$ not greater than 360 MPa and an ultimate strength to yield stress ratio of at least 1.4.

Cold rolled RHS is not suitable for other than elastically responding structures unless annealed to restore the original steel the ductility requirements appropriate used. However in the selection of the resistant weldable structural steel may be above normal good practice.

Most testing of earthquake performance reported in the literature has been on steel to ASTM A36 or A441 (11).

While it is not possible to judge the resistance of steel to lamellar tearing by ladle or heat analysis, there is evidence that steels with a sulphur content of about 0.03 percent or more have a higher incidence of lamellar tearing than those with a low sulphur content of 0.02 percent or less. If possible low sulphur clean steels should be selected for critical joints.

Special steels with enhanced through section properties usually contain rare earths, such as cerium or calcium to either reduce sulphur content or to ensure inclusions remain small and spherical during rolling. Due to the premium they attract such steels could only be recommended for critical joints. They are no guarantee against lamellar tearing, nor a panacea for practice may require a high level of quality assurance for other than earthquake reasons.

Not only is ductility and low cycle fatigue resistance required in these regions but the design forces on joints and connecting elements are governed by the actual strength of these regions.

Frames and bracing designed to elastic design procedures need not be subject to the above special inspection. However good practice may require a high level of quality assurance for other than earthquake reasons.

The special inspection together with testing should be in the form of a Quality Assurance Plan specified for the designated seismic system. Such a plan would include:

(a) Confirmation of material properties including actual yield and ultimate strengths, ductility and carbon equivalent.

(b) Check on the through section properties if lamellar tearing could be a problem.

(c) Continuous special inspection during all shop and field welding of all multiple-pass welded connections.

(d) Periodic special inspection during high-strength bolting operations.

(e) Testing of welds to appropriate class as per NZS 4701.

(f) Ultrasonic testing for discontinuities behind capheads and contractor be regularly furnished with reports and all deficiencies be
notified for immediate correction.

9. CONCLUSIONS

In the selection of steel for earthquake resistance the ductility requirements appropriate to the design method must be considered.

A combination of good practice for design, detailing and fabrication procedures is required to avoid brittle fracture, lamellar tearing and low cycle fatigue.

Higher than normal quality assurance is required of all locations in the structure that may be subjected to plastic deformation in the event of an earthquake.
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